A 38-year-old male patient, businessman by profession, presented with the chief complaint of missing upper right posterior teeth and finding difficulty in chewing. History revealed that tooth was extracted due to the chief complaint of missing upper right posterior teeth. The residual bone density, resulting in ridge deficiency.

Maxillary sinus pneumatisation is the result of progressive hallowing of alveolar process on apical aspect. This is result of osteoclast mediated resorption due to absence of bone stimulation and increase in positive antral pressure. In such a situation there is descent of sinus floor reducing the residual alveolar bone height. This makes conventional implant placement difficult.

Maxilla is made up of spongy bone and is less dense when compared to mandible. Poor quality of residual bone along with maxillary sinus pneumatisation poses challenges towards success of implant in maxillary region.

To adapt and evade the above limitation, sinus elevation procedure has become an essential part in implant therapy. Maxillary sinus elevation was first described by Tatum and later modified by Boyne and James. Various different methodologies have evolved since then. While some procedures involve minimal elevation of maxillary sinus, other procedures involve use of various types of grafts such as allografts, xenografts etc.

There are mainly two ways of sinus elevation- Direct sinus lift and Indirect sinus lift technique. Both the methods have delineated indications and contra indications. Direct sinus lift procedure involves elevating the Schneiderian membrane through a lateral window to create sufficient vertical space for bone augmentation. Indirect sinus lift involves the maxillary sinus to be accessed through the alveolar crest.

This article describes a case of deficient residual ridge height managed by sinus lift procedure along with use of bone grafts.

**CASE REPORT**

A 38-year-old male patient, businessman by profession, presented with the chief complaint of missing upper right posterior teeth and finding difficulty in chewing. History revealed that tooth was extracted due to caries three and half years back, which was uneventful. His medical history was non-contributory with no signs or symptoms of systemic illness. On intra oral clinical examination patient was having good oral hygiene with edentulous 16 having thick tissue biotype.

The Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) finding showed that the available sub-antral bone was insufficient in height ie 6mm and the residual bone density as 376 HU [Fig 1(b)]. Routine blood investigations were done which came out to be within normal limit. Alginate impression was recorded and pre-surgical casts were prepared, interocclusal as well as interdental distance was measured. After correlating clinical and radiographic findings treatment plan was discussed with the patient and was planned for sinus augmentation with lateral window approach in relation to edentulous 16.

Then the section was fractured using direct sinus lift elevators. The fractured section of bone was kept attached to the antral lining and no attempt was made to separate it from the lining. The detached “window” was elevated medially and apically while simultaneously reflecting the sinus membrane with the help of elevators [Fig 2(b)]. Following adequate reflection, the sinus membrane was inspected for tears. The augmentation procedure was completed with calcium phosphosilicate bone substitute (nova bone putty) as graft material and the implant (Equinox myriad plus 4.5 × 11mm) was stabilized and sutured with biotech collagen membrane. Patient was given postoperative antibiotic and anti-inflammatory coverage. After postoperative instructions were given, the patient was recalled after 10 days for re-evaluation and suture removal. Patient was followed up after period of 6 months for rehabilitation. Healing abutment was placed and after a period of ten days cemented retained prosthesis was given [Fig 2(d)].
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sinus lining(9). Once the access through bony window is prepared, widening of osteotomy is done protecting the sinus lining; also the window trephined autogenous bone is used for vertical augmentation of sinus floor. Although the potential of bone formation in sinus without the use of bone substitutes has been proposed but the results remain controversial and also the stability of the blood clot with sinus lifting is questionable. Despite the ability of osteogenesis of autografts, resorbable bone grafts may also be suitable in sinus augmentation procedures for dimension maintenance. Therefore, different types of bone replacement grafts can be used in sinus augmentation procedures.

The graft material used in the present study is calcium phosphosilicate putty. A significant difference in the density of new bone formed (at the end of 6 months) in comparison to the residual bone was noted. It was observed that the bone formed 6 months post op was denser than the residual bone pre treatment. Nova Bone’s graft substitute is engineered to accelerate the body’s natural healing process with a measured release of ions and changes in surface chemistry that supercharge cellular activity with preferential development of cells that form new bone. The term osteostimulation is used to define this unique process (10).

CONCLUSION
The success of the dental implant placement with sinus augmentation is a technique sensitive procedure and depends on the skill of the operator, adequate preoperative planning, technique used and the type of graft material used.

Implant placement in maxillary posterior region is complicated especially in cases of long edentulous span due to inadequate bone density and height. The case was challenging because of ridge resorption, with reduced vertical bone height and pneumatization of the maxillary sinus. So piezo-surgical technique for intervention which is a comparatively a safer approach to the maxillary sinus was tried, allowing sinus membrane integrity to be maintained. Also bone graft material (novabone putty) used for augmentation presented a remarkable difference in the bone fill after a duration of six months.

As observed in this case, the bone density of the bone formed was much higher than the residual bone height. However further radiological and histological studies are required to substantiate the use of different types of grafts in such procedures.
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DISCUSSION
In posterior maxilla, sinus floor augmentation is an established protocol for increasing the bone volume. In the present case report on the radiographic examination by Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), the available bone height in the molar region was found to be only 6mm from the maxillary sinus lining. Reason for the same was the missing molars since long so there were atrophic changes of the edentulous area. Also due to increase of pneumatization of antrum there was continuous loss of bone height as well as density (5). Decision of procedure was made by the pre existing tooth form and there was continuous loss of bone height as well as density (5).

Implant placement in maxillary posterior region is complicated especially in cases of long edentulous span due to inadequate bone density and height. The case was challenging because of ridge resorption, with reduced vertical bone height and pneumatization of the maxillary sinus. So piezo-surgical technique for intervention which is a comparatively a safer approach to the maxillary sinus was tried, allowing sinus membrane integrity to be maintained. Also bone graft material (novabone putty) used for augmentation presented a remarkable difference in the bone fill after a duration of six months.

As observed in this case, the bone density of the bone formed was much higher than the residual bone height. However further radiological and histological studies are required to substantiate the use of different types of grafts in such procedures.

RESULT
In the present intervention, the height of the alveolar process in the intended implant site was 6mm. Implant analyzed was installed in site simultaneously with sinus lift. Post-operative sub-antral bone height along with implant placement came out to be 15.3mm [Fig 3(a)]. Gain in height of sub-antral bone after a duration of 6 months was 9.3mm. Also the bone density after remodeling of the grafted site came out to be 475HU [Fig 3(b)], in comparison of residual bone density 376HU (pre operatively). So the gain in residual bone density was 99HU.

CONCLUSION
The success of the dental implant placement with sinus augmentation is a technique sensitive procedure and depends on the skill of the operator, adequate preoperative planning, technique used and the type of graft material used.

Implant placement in maxillary posterior region is complicated especially in cases of long edentulous span due to inadequate bone density and height. The case was challenging because of ridge resorption, with reduced vertical bone height and pneumatization of the maxillary sinus. So piezo-surgical technique for intervention which is a comparatively a safer approach to the maxillary sinus was tried, allowing sinus membrane integrity to be maintained. Also bone graft material (novabone putty) used for augmentation presented a remarkable difference in the bone fill after a duration of six months.

As observed in this case, the bone density of the bone formed was much higher than the residual bone height. However further radiological and histological studies are required to substantiate the use of different types of grafts in such procedures.
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